



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/078,283	02/14/2002	Anthony Viole	FORFLOW.008CP1	4212

20995 7590 07/09/2008  
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP  
2040 MAIN STREET  
FOURTEENTH FLOOR  
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER
----------

BOCKELMAN, MARK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3766

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

07/09/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com  
eOAPilot@kmob.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/078,283	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VIOLE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Mark W. Bockelman	<b>Art Unit</b> 3766	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mark W. Bockelman. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Andrew Douglas. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: See last office action.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and counselor discussed the prior art of record with the examiner noting that an extended search in other areas not yet covered (due to the nature of the RCE) would likely produce more art applicable to the single lumen apparatus now claimed. The examiner encouraged applicant to return to the two lumen catheter claims focusing in on the distal tip catheter structure eg. element 434 of figure 8.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mark W Bockelman/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.